



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Joseph A. King Attorney file: 5783  
Serial No.: 10/623,682 Examiner: Tsoy, Elena  
Filed: 07/21/2003 Group: 1762  
For: DELIVERY SYSTEM AND METHOD OF MAKING ARTICLE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 11-11-04 by applicant's attorney, Carl L. Johnson.

*Carl L. Johnson*

Carl L. Johnson

11-11-04

Date

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT UNDER RULE 37 C.F.R. 1.111**

Sir:

**REMARKS**

The Applicant has received and reviewed the Office's Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 3, 2004 wherein the Office held that the Applicant's response of October 15, 2004 was non-compliant because the amendment to the specification and claims were not presented on separate sheets.

In response to the above, the Applicant has enclosed a revised response to the Office Action dated June 30, 2004, the revised response containing amendments to the specification and to the claims presented on separate sheets. In view of the

aforementioned, the Applicant respectfully request that the Office's Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

**JACOBSON AND JOHNSON**

By   
Carl L. Johnson, Reg. No. 24,273  
Attorneys for Applicant  
Suite 285  
One West Water Street  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55107-2080  
Telephone: 651- 222-3775  
Fax: 651-222-3776

CLJ/cj  
Enclosure



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Joseph A. King Attorney file: 5783  
Serial No.: 10/623,682 Examiner: Tsoy, Elena  
Filed: 07/21/2003 Group: 1762  
For: DELIVERY SYSTEM AND METHOD OF MAKING ARTICLE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 11-11-04 by applicant's attorney, Carl L. Johnson.

*Carl L. Johnson*

Carl L. Johnson

11-11-04

Date

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT UNDER RULE 37 C.F.R. 1.111**

Sir:

**REMARKS**

The Applicant has received and reviewed the Office Action dated June 30, 2004 wherein the Office objected to the specification due to various informalities, rejected claims 8 and 9 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8 of U.S. patent No. 6,446,814; rejected claims 10 and 12 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8 of U.S. patent No. 6,446,814 in view of the reference of Rosenblatt (U.S. Patent No. 6,365,169); rejected claims 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the reference KR 8902848, and rejected claims 10 and 12 under